- Threshold Requirements

 1. The project is located within the Town of Chapel Hill city limits or ETJ.

 2. The applicant can demonstrate site control (if applicable).

 3. The project falls within one of the priority project areas identified by the Town.

 4. The application is complete and submitted by the established deadlines.

		CASA 2200	Community Home Trust -	Empowerment -	Empowerment -		
			Homestead	Graham St	Lindsay	Gomains	Habitat - Weiner St
1. Income Target	30	maximum points					
a. The households to be served through the proposed project fall within the household income ranges.							
Household Income Range	Points	See Question 7					
0-30% AMI 31-60% AMI	30 25		8		15 13	25	19
61-80% AMI	15		6	15	13	23	4
81-100% AMI	10		0				
100-120% AMI	0		0				
2. Financing and Leverage	55	maximum points					
a. The degree to which the proposed project includes other sources of funds.							
Percent Funded by Town Source	Points	See Question 6					
41-100% 21 – 40 %	0 5		5	5	0	5	
11 – 20 %	10						10
0-10%	15						
b. Total Town Subsidy Per Unit		See Question 7					
Less than \$10,000	15						
\$10,000-\$20,000	10						10
\$20,001-\$30,000 \$30,001+	5 0		0	0	0	0	
C. Total Development Cost Per Unit	U		U	U	0	U	
\$200,000 +	0		0	0		0	
\$125,000 - \$199,999	5						5
< \$125,000 d. Town subsidy will be repaid to the Town	10				10		
a. Town subsidy will be repaid to the Town	0		0	0	0	0	0
Yes, principal only repayment	10						
Yes, principal and interest repayment	15						
3. Feasibility	10	maximum points					
a. The applicant can demonstrate zoning compliance.	2	See Attachment - Project Information	2	2	2	2	2
b. Planning approval already received.	4	See Question 7 See Question 6	0	4	4	4	0
c. 50% of other financing has been committed.	4	See Question 6	0	0	4	4	0
4. Experience	20	maximum points					
a. Experience of the applicant in carrying out projects of comparable scope and nature.	Points	See Question 3					
The applicant has successfully completed 3+ comparable projects. The applicant has successfully completed 1-2 comparable projects	10 5		10	10	10	10	10
The applicant has successfully completed 1-2 comparable projects.	0						
b. Applicant has successful record of meeting project budgets and schedules with projects funded by the Town.		See Question 3					
All projects funded by the Town completed on schedule, within budget, and without any major performance	10	See Question 3					
issues. Some projects funded by the Town completed on schedule, within budget, and without any major			NA	10	10	10	10
performance issues.	5						
No projects funded by the Town completed on schedule, within budget, and without any major performance issues.	0						
5. Design	30	maximum points See Question 8					
 The proposed project utilizes energy efficiency principles. Meets Energy Star 3.0 or QAP Standards and Requirements. 	Points 10	See Question o	10				10
Does not meet Energy Star 3.0 or QAP Standards but includes some energy efficiency measures.	5			_	_	_	
Does not include any energy efficiency standards.	0			5	5	5	
b. The proposed project utilizes the principles of Universal Design.	-	See Question 9					
Includes many universal design features.	10		10		_	_	10
Includes some universal design features. Does not include any universal design features.	5 0			0	5	5	
The proposed project is accessible to needed services for the population to be served, such as healthcare,	10	Con Attachments					
schools, and public transportation.		See Attachments	10	10	10	10	10
5. Impact	45	maximum points					
a. The proposed project includes provisions to ensure long-term affordability.	Points	See Question 5					
Development Projects: Less than 30 years	0						
30-98 years							
Permanent (99 years)	25		25	25	25	25	25
Preservation Projects: Less than 5 years	0						
5-10 years	5						
11-20 years	10						
21-30 years 31-98 years							
Permanent (99 years)	25						
b. Number of Units		See Question 7					
<10	0 5			0	0	0	0
10 to 15 16-30	10						
31-45	15						
>45	20		20				
7 Social Fauity		maximum neinte					
7. Social Equity a. The proposed project serves a vulnerable population. (Older adults age 55+, individuals with disabilities,	25	maximum points					
nomeless, or veteransy.	5	See Question 7	5	5	5	5	5
 b. The applicant involved the intended beneficiaries of the project in the planning process. c. The organization demonstrates commitment to addressing racial equity issues 	10 10	See Question 10 See Question 10 and Attachments	10 10	0 10	10 10	10 10	10 10
	10		20	20	20	20	10
TOTAL	215		139	101	138	130	150
			139	101	130	130	150
Percentage			68%	47%	64%	60%	70%

Organization	Project	Total Score	% Score	HAB Recommendat ion	Request	Previous Award	Future Request	Total	Units	Subsidy Per Unit	Total Project Cost	% Funded by Town	Cost Per Unit	Leverage from Outside Sources	Туре	Affordability Period
CASA	2200 Homestead Road	139	68	300,000	300,000	3,600,231	1,736,941	5,637,172	117	48,181	25,164,797	22%	215,084	19,527,625	Grant	Permanent
Community Home Trust	Graham St Acquisition	101	47	-	60,000	-	-	60,000	1	60,000	269,000	22%	269,000	209,000	Grant	Permanent
EmPOWERment	Lindsay St Acquisition	138	64	187,000	187,000	-		187,000	2	93,500	247,000	76%	123,500	60,000	Grant	Permanent
EmPOWERment	Gomains St House Move	130	60	26,395	61,111	-		61,111	1	61,111	215,529	28%	215,529	154,418	Grant	Perment
Habitat	Weiner St Securing Future Affordability	150	70	-	150,000	-	-	150,000	8	18,750	1,369,420	11%	171,178	1,219,420	Grant	Permanent
Total Request				513,395	758,111	3,600,231	1,736,941	6,095,283	129	56,308	27,265,746	22%		1,373,838		
Amount Available	513,395			-	(244,716)			·	·	·		·				