Traffic Data Review & Findings | May 1, 2019

An overview of available traffic data in Chapel Hill and preliminary findings



Presentation Outline



2018 Community Survey

II. Town data:

Longer travel time at peak times Minimal traffic change over time

III. Regional data:

Increasing regional traffic

IV. Upcoming projects: Options for paths forward



Town Data Review Expectations for tonight

- Town-wide Traffic Model
- 3. Town traffic data results may not match resident feedback

1. Informational presentation; no Council action necessary

2. This data will be integrated into next steps with the Council's

perceptions; Town staff will take the data out to residents for



Background & Context 2018 Community Survey Results on Traffic

- Residents identified the 'Overall' Management of Traffic Flow' as a top priority for the Town.
- The Town performed 11% lower than the national average

 No statistical relationship found between a resident's address and their survey responses on traffic.

Percent of Community Satisfied with Overall Management of Traffic Flow

2018 Community Survey Results







Responding to the 2018 Community Survey Actions taken since the 2018 Community Survey results by the Town

- Town Council charged staff to:
 - evaluate Town traffic data, and
 - analyze 2018 Community Survey results in the priority area of traffic
- In response, Town Leadership mobilized a cross-departmental team:

 - Supported by:
 - Traffic Management staff
 - Planning & Development Services staff
 - Analytics Team (GIS, Budget, & Technology Solutions staff)

Led by Connected Community Goal Champions, Bergen Watterson and Donnie Rhoads



+ Town Data

- Peak hour and travel time data
- North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) data
- Commuting patterns data





Town Data Traffic Data Types

• Point-in-Time Data & Reports

• Real-Time Data





.....

Appendix A

Fordham Blvd Before and After Signal Timing Study

AM Peak Data Analysis

AM Peak Hour (7AM – 9AM) Travel Time & Delay			
	Travel Time (seconds)	Stopped Delay (seconds)	Total Delay (seconds)
Before Retiming	410	94	139
After Retiming	326	18	71
Improvement (Before- After)	84	76	68
Percent Difference	20%	8%	49%



C 2015 Microsoft Corporation Taims

2.2 100 **b** Bing 60%

40%



Town Data Review **Preliminary Findings**

- 1. Peak hour traffic is increasing in major regional corridors
 - E.g. East 54, 15-501
- 2. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) is not increasing or decreasing significantly within Town limits
- 3. Some pass-through traffic from Chatham County, though other regional routes also experiencing traffic increase
- 4. The number of Chapel Hill residents biking, walking, or using public transit to get to work is increasing over time





Town Data Peak hour and travel time data

Route	2016 PM Peak Travel Time (in minutes)	2018 PM Peak Travel Time (in minutes)	Change in Seconds	P
1. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd	7 minutes, 5 seconds	8 minutes, 33 seconds	+88	
2. 15-501 thru Fordham Blvd	8 minutes, 29 seconds	8 minutes, 58 seconds	+29	
3. NC East 54	2 minutes, 11 seconds	2 minutes, 43 seconds	+32	
4. 15-501 S (Columbia St.)	n/a	3 minutes, 9 seconds	n/a	

Source: Town of Chapel Hill Traffic Signal System Reports

Preliminary Finding 1

• Peak hour traffic is increasing in major regional corridors

• E.g. East 54, 15-501



Town Data NCDOT Sensor Data in Chapel Hill (2003 – 2017)

2003 **AADT Volume** Town of Chapel Hill 1,330,050 (All Sensors within Town Limits)

Preliminary Finding 2

- Average annual daily traffic (AADT) is not increasing or decreasing significantly within Town limits
 - The average rate of change for all streets in Town is -4%
 - This rate of change is not consistent across all intersections in Town





Town Data

NCDOT Sensor Data in Chapel Hill (2003 – 2017)





- **Route 1:** Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd
- Route 2: 15-501 thru Fordham Blvd lacksquare
- Route 3: NC East 54
- **Route 4:** 15-501 South (Columbia St.)

Town Data

Targeted Routes/Intersections





Route 1: Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd

Intersect N. Colum MLK Jr Blv MLK Jr Blv

tion	2003 AADT Volume	2017 AADT Volume	Percer Chang
bia St and Franklin St	18,000	15,000	-17%
vd and Estes Dr.	23,000	20,000	-15%
vd and Weaver Dairy Rd	26,000	28,000	7%





Route 2: 15-501 thru Fordham Blvd

Intersection	2003 AADT Volume	2017 AADT Volume	Percer Chang
15-501 and I-40	44,000	45,000	2%
15-501 / Fordham S of Estes Dr.	37,000	38,000	3%
Fordham Blvd and Raleigh Rd	50,000	54,000	7%
Fordham Blvd, near Morgan Creek	40,000	45,000	11%
Merritt Mill Rd and East 54	12,000	12,000	0%





Route 3: NC East 54

Intersection	2003 AADT Volume	2017 AADT Volume	Percer Chang
Raleigh Rd and Barbee Chapel Rd	37,000	49,000	24%
Fordham Blvd and Raleigh Rd	50,000	54,000	7%
Fordham Blvd, near Morgan Creek	40,000	45,000	11%
15-501 and East 54	30,000	36,000	17%
15-501 and Mt Carmel Rd.	20,000	25,000	20%



Route 4: 15-501 S and various Chatham County Intersections

Intersection	2003 AADT Volume	2017 AADT Volume	Percer Chang
Raleigh Rd and Barbee Chapel Rd	37,000	49,000	24%
Fordham Blvd and Raleigh Rd	50,000	54,000	7%
Fordham Blvd, near Morgan Creek	40,000	45,000	11%
15-501 and East 54	30,000	36,000	17%
15-501 and Mt Carmel Rd.	20,000	25,000	20%
Mt. Carmel Rd and Old Farrington Point Rd	5,000	6,700	25%
Lystra Rd and Farrington Point Rd	4,800	6,300	24%

Preliminary Finding 3

Source: NCDOT Sensors

• Some pass-through traffic from Chatham County, though other regional routes also experiencing traffic increase





Data Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2016 5-Year ACS: values less than 3% not labeled

Preliminary Finding 4

- Chapel Hill residents ulletmore likely to walk, bike, or use public transit to get to work than regional peers
 - The number of Chapel Hill residents biking, walking, or using public transit to get to work is increasing over time



Town Data Recap

Preliminary Findings

- 1. Peak hour traffic is increasing in major regional corridors
 - E.g. East 54, 15-501
- 2. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) is not increasing or decreasing significantly within Town limits
- 3. Some pass-through traffic from Chatham County, though other regional routes also experiencing traffic increase
- Chapel Hill residents more likely to walk, bike, or use public 4. transit to get to work than regional peers



+ Regional Data

NCDOT sensor data

Upcoming traffic-related capital projects





19

Regional Data Review

Preliminary Findings

- 1. Traffic has increased significantly in the region compared to Chapel Hill
- 2. More traffic on major regional corridors at peak times
 - e.g. I-40, NC 54, 15-501





Regional Data Increasing Regional Traffic

- More traffic on major regional corridors at peak times
 - population growth
 - commuter' mobility
 - e.g. NC 54, 15-501, I-40

Chapel Hill

Source: NCDOT sensor data

Sanford



Regional Data Recap

Preliminary Findings

- 1. Traffic has increased significantly in the region compared to Chapel Hill
- 2. More traffic on major regional corridors at peak times
 - e.g. I-40, NC 54, 15-501

Total Recap

Preliminary Findings (Town Data)

- 1. Peak hour traffic is increasing in major regional corridors
 - e.g. East 54, 15-501
- 2. Average annual daily traffic (AADT) is not increasing or decreasing significantly within Town limits
- 3. Some pass-through traffic from Chatham County, though other regional routes also experiencing traffic increase
- 4. Chapel Hill residents more likely to walk, bike, or use public transit to get to work than regional peers

Preliminary Findings (Regional Data)

- 1. Traffic has increased significantly in the region
- 2. More traffic on major regional corridors at peak times
 - e.g. I-40, NC 54, 15-501

+ Upcoming Projects

Traffic Signal System Dashboard

Upcoming traffic-related capital projects

Tools to monitor congestion

Traffic signal system dashboard

Town-wide Traffic Model

- Developed Traffic Model (TransModeler) for Blue Hill District
- TransModeler Software:
 - Multi-Model Analysis; Complete Traffic Impact Study
 - Intersections
- Town-wide Traffic Model Development

 - Start and Complete in FY 2020

3-D Visualization; Traffic Signal Operations on Coordinated Arterials or at Isolated

Demo of Blue Hill District Model is scheduled for May 1 at 10 am

Grant funding may be available (MPO and Town Funds)

Upcoming Projects

Actions the Town can take to manage regional congestion

- 1. Town-wide Traffic Model
- 2. NC 86 Project
- 3. NC 54 Project
- 4. I-40 Widening
- 5. Bus-Rapid Transit

Ongoing Efforts

Actions the Town can take to manage Town traffic

- 1. Investments in bike and pedestrian facilities
- 2. Investments in Public Transit
- 3. Signal Timing Evaluation
- 4. Variable Message Sign Projects
- 5. Elliott Road Construction

orts traffic

Proposed Next Steps

- multi-modal projects
- 2. Staff is working on building out a Town-wide traffic model.
- town and regional data.

1. Staff will incorporate data into upcoming decision points for capital and

3. Staff will share data with Transportation and Connectivity Board and resident focus groups to learn how users of the system understand the

Traffic Data Review & Findings | May 1, 2019

An overview on traffic in Chapel Hill and tools the Town has to manage congestion

