From: Roger Stancil

Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 5:15 PM

To: Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman;

Town Council; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Roger

Stancil; Ross Tompkins

Cc: Jim Orr; Amy Harvey; Beth Vazquez; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Christina

Strauch; Dwight Bassett; Flo Miller; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos;

Ran Northam; Roger Stancil; Sabrina Oliver

Subject: Council Questions: Item 3: PARTF Grant

<u>Council Question</u>: Could you please clarify the boundaries of the proposed PARTF site? It is not completely clear from the map if it includes the north-east piece to the right of the RCD, surrounding the dance studio building?

<u>Staff Response</u>: The PARTF site does include the north-east piece to the right of the RCD, surrounding the dance studio building. The PARTF site boundaries are the blue hashed lines.

Council Question: When would the Town hear back on whether the application has been accepted or denied for PARTF? **Staff Response**: The PARTF committee will meet on August 24, 2018 to award the grants. If by chance they decide not to meet on that date, then they will meet on September 28, 2018.

<u>Council Question</u>: I'm still not clear on this, but how exactly might the \$250K PARTF grant be used in relation to the identified 8.75-acre tract?

<u>Staff Response:</u> If the grant is awarded, the \$250,000 goes towards the purchase price of the whole property and designates that 8.75 acre area to be used for "recreational" purposes for 25 years and cannot be used for anything else. If the Town decided to use the 8.75 acres for something other than "recreational purposes," the Town must return the \$250,000.

<u>Council Question</u>: Is there a minimum number of acres we need to commit to for the grant? How was the specific plot of land for the application chosen?

<u>Staff response:</u> There is not a specific number of acres the Town needs to commit for the grant. The acreage needs to be enough in comparison to the appraisal. The 8 acres were selected because it was low enough that we felt that Council would approve at least that number for a park. If we put a higher number of acres in the application then there was a possibility that Council could approve a lower number, at which time if we did receive the grant we would have to return the funds. We picked that back part of the property because it is contiguous with Ephesus Park and the school and is far enough away from Legion Rd that it would be least likely to get caught up in the conversation of the possibility of Council selling part of the property closest to Legion Rd.