

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2018 10:15 AM
To: philip.n.post@gmail.com
Cc: Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: FW: Light Rail Industrial Facility - Noise
Attachments: CCF11252018_0001.pdf

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Phil Post [mailto:philip.n.post@gmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, November 25, 2018 2:27 PM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Light Rail Industrial Facility - Noise

Dear Mayor Pam and Members of Town Council,
Your fellow citizens and neighbors in Chapel Hill need your help this week. You will be discussing the Light Rail System. Part of that system is the 23 acre industrial facility proposed within about 1000 yards of the homes in the Oaks neighborhood of Chapel Hill.

The Light Rail Industrial facility will forever damage the character and change the quiet enjoyment of our homes in Chapel Hill.

According to the Light Rail Noise Study (which which pages 29 and 30 are attached), 48 times during the hours of 10 pm and 7 am, every day, the facility will cause a five second long noise of 136 dBA. With the calculated reduction in reaching our homes , it is estimated the noise during the night will be about 100 dBA at our houses. As you may know, a jet engine is 120 dBA, a rock concert is 112 dBA and a gas leaf blower is 106 dBA. So the noise and commotion in the middle of every night will be almost like a leaf blower going off in our yards forty eight times a night.

I sincerely ask the Mayor and Council to refrain from offering ANY support to GoTriangle until both you and all your fellow citizens can be assured that this kind of nighttime noise and nighttime disturbance will not occur in Chapel Hill neighborhoods.

Thank you in advance for your attention to this vital matter.

Sincerely,
Phil Post
104 St Andrews Place
Chapel Hill, NC

Phone / Text (919) 818-7862

- Evening peak operations (3:30 PM to 7:00 PM): 10-minute headways
- Late night operations (7:00 PM to 12:00 AM): 20-minute headways
- The sound exposure level (SEL) at 50 feet for LRT trains with wheel skirts operating on ballast and tie track at 50 mph is assumed to be 80 dBA.
- The SEL at 50 feet for LRT trains with wheel skirts operating on embedded track at 50 mph is assumed to be 83 dBA.
- Stationary warning bells, generating a sound level of 75 dBA at 10 feet, will be sounded at all gated crossings before and after each LRT train for a total of 40 seconds. The corresponding SEL at 50 feet for crossing bells is assumed to be 77 dBA.
- LRT bells are assumed to generate a sound level of 80 dBA at 50 feet and sound for approximately 2 seconds prior to exiting a passenger station. The corresponding SEL at 50 feet for LRT bells is assumed to be 83 dBA.
- LRT bells are assumed to generate a sound level of 80 dBA at 50 feet and sound for 5 seconds prior to reaching an at-grade crossing. The corresponding SEL at 50 feet for LRT bells is assumed to be 87 dBA.
- Locations of elevated structures, crossovers, and embedded track were identified based on plan and profile maps provided.
- Wheel impacts at track crossovers and turnouts are assumed to cause localized noise increases of 6 dB up to a distance of 200 feet and no increase beyond 200 feet.
- Elevated structures increase the noise levels by 4 dB compared to ballast-and-tie track at nearby sensitive receptors due to the direct fixation track configuration and structure-borne noise.

5.1.2 ROMF Noise Methodology

The projection of noise from the proposed ROMF operations was determined using the model and reference values specified in the FTA guidance manual and current design and operational parameters for the ROMF with the following assumptions:

- The removal of up to 600 feet of intervening trees from the proposed ROMF site between Interstate 40 and sensitive receptors west of Farrington Road is assumed to increase existing highway noise from Interstate 40 by 10 dB.
- The reference SEL at 50 feet based on 20 LRT train movements within the ROMF is assumed to be 118 dBA.
- The schedule of LRT train movements within the ROMF is assumed to be as follows:
 - Daytime movements (7:00 AM to 10:00 PM): 32 total movements
 - Nighttime movements (10:00 PM to 7:00 AM): 48 total movements
- The tight radius curves within the proposed ROMF have the potential to cause wheel squeal as the radii of curvature are less than 100 times the width of the LRT trucks. The SEL at 50 feet for LRT wheel squeal is assumed to be 136 dBA and each train movement is assumed to generate five seconds of wheel squeal.

- The proposed substation within the ROMF is assumed to operate continuously, with an SEL at 50 feet of 99 dBA.
- The proposed ROMF buildings will provide up to 5 dB of shielding from proposed maintenance facility noise sources, as well as from the additional noise generated by existing vehicle traffic on Interstate 40.
- The proposed berm on the east side of Farrington Road was included in the assessment and provides shielding for some of the residences to the west.
- The existing berm on the west side of Farrington Road was included in the assessment and provides shielding for some of the residences to the west.

5.1.3 Traction Power Substation Noise Methodology

The projection of noise from proposed traction power substation (TPSS) locations was determined using the model and reference value (99 dBA SEL at 50 feet) specified in the FTA guidance manual.

5.2 Ground-Borne Vibration Prediction

The projection of ground-borne vibration from LRT train operations was determined using the model specified in the FTA guidance manual with the following assumptions:

- LRT train speeds will range from 20 mph to 55 mph for revenue operations, except for entry and exit from passenger station areas. LRT train speeds are based on modeled speed profiles in both directions (i.e., eastbound and westbound) that reflect train operating characteristics, track geometry, and passenger station locations.
- The LRT trains will consist of two LRT rail cars during hours of operation.
- The operating hours and headways will be as follows, which will result in “frequent” events as defined in the vibration criteria section:
 - Morning peak operations (5:30 AM to 9:00 AM): 10-minute headways
 - Midday operations (9:00 AM to 3:30 PM): 20-minute headways
 - Evening peak operations (3:30 PM to 7:00 PM): 10-minute headways
 - Late night operations (7:00 PM to 12:00 AM): 20-minute headways
- Locations of elevated structures, crossovers, and embedded track were identified based on plan and profile maps.
- Wheel impacts at track crossovers and turnouts are assumed to cause localized vibration increases of up to 10 dB for nearby sensitive receptors due to the gap in the track.
- Elevated structures decrease the vibration levels by up to 10 dB for nearby sensitive receptors.
- The only tunnel section on the project is not located near any sensitive receptors, so ground-borne noise was not assessed.
- Future vibration levels from LRT operations were based on a combination of the force density (vehicle) and propagation (soil) data at sensitive locations. The procedure for projecting future vibration levels is to measure the vibration propagation characteristics of the soil and combine that information with the vehicle information independent of the soil (Force Density [FD]). The formula for calculating the future vibration levels is as follows:

Information quoted from the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association
Accessed November 13, 2018. www.asha.org/public/hearing/loud-noise-dangers/

Loud Noise Dangers

Painful steady noise—Not safe for any period of time

130 dBA = jackhammer

120 dBA = jet plane takeoff, siren, pneumatic drill

Extremely loud—Dangerous to hearing; wear earplugs or earmuffs

112 dBA = maximum output of some MP3 players, rock concert, chainsaw

106 dBA = gas leaf blower, snow blower

100 dBA = tractor, listening with earphones

94 dBA = hair dryer, kitchen blender, food processor

Very loud—Dangerous to hearing; wear earplugs or earmuffs

91 dBA = subway, passing motorcycle, gas mower

Moderate—Safe listening for any time period

70 dBA = group conversation, vacuum cleaner, alarm clock

60 dBA = typical conversation, dishwasher, clothes dryer

50 dBA = moderate rainfall

40 dBA = quiet room

Faint—Safe listening for any time period

30 dBA = whisper, quiet library

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2018 2:30 PM
To: pamelaransohoff@gmail.com
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: email...FW: ROMF Rezoning sent to Representative Morey

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Pamela Ransohoff [<mailto:pamelaransohoff@gmail.com>]
Sent: Monday, November 26, 2018 11:51 AM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: ROMF Rezoning sent to Representative Morey

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

I am sending you a copy of what I have sent to the various state and local leaders including my own state representatives. I sent another email to Mayor Schewel. I also just found out that the noise could affect up to 7 miles away. (information

given by an Environmental Engineer that lives in the Oaks.) The noise of the maintenance facility a Ephesus Church and Farrington Roads can affect a lot of people in the town of Chapel Hill including several of the elementary schools in that bandwidth. Your position is difficult in this situation. However, this information appears to be hidden deep in the last GoTriangle report of October 2018. See Document attached. It has just been discovered by affected neighbors and parents. For every 10 DB increase is perceived as a doubling of the sound intensity to the human ear. Perhaps you can look into this and bring this up to GoTriangle. Maybe this is a clue to the challenges of this proposed GoTriangle contract with Chapel Hill that you are voting on Wednesday night.

Blessings. Pamela

Dear Representative Morey,

With this letter, we bring to your attention our concerns about the proposed light rail maintenance for the Durham Orange Light Rail (ROMF) to be located along Farrington Road in Durham. Durham city council is scheduled to vote on December 3 to rezone the site for industrial use from Residential.

Once this happens, we foresee irreparable harm for hundreds, if not thousands, of surrounding residents and to the 860 children attending the adjacent Creekside Elementary School.

Our primary concern is noise. The Farrington site is directly adjacent to several residential communities. It is also very close to Creekside Elementary School (less than 1/4 mile away). GoTriangle's initial noise and vibration study suggested that neighboring residents did not have too much to worry about. We were promised (in this report and in meetings with GoTriangle) that noise would be subdued and measures would be taken to shield the surrounding areas.

Only after these meetings had taken place, and just a few weeks before Durham city council was scheduled to vote on the matter, did GoTriangle issue a **revised** noise and vibration study. It paints a very different picture, though the damaging information is once again obscured by placing in into appendices. The two key facts are:

1. The site's substation will emit a constant, around the clock noise of **99 dBA** (measured at 50 feet).

- The site is poorly shaped, forcing the trains to execute 90 degree plus turns as they are moved around the facility. This will happen during the day and 48 times each night at a noise level of **136 dBA** (again at 50 feet). 11pm - 5 am



To get an idea how much noise the decibel measures imply, consider the following:

- U.S. Department of Environmental Health guidelines limit workers to no more than 15 minutes of 100 dBA noise exposure per day.
- The City of Durham Code of Ordinances (Sec. 26–4 to 26–22) prohibits sound levels exceeding **50 dBA** in residential areas outside the hours of 11pm to 8am. 99 dBA is **32 times louder** than 50 dBA.
- The University of Florida lists leaf blowers as an example of equipment that generates **99 dBA** of noise. For such equipment, HCP recommends hearing protection and enrollment in a Hearing Conservation Program (HCP) if exposure exceeds 19 minutes twice a month. This is the level of noise that GoTriangle expects along Farrington Road **around the clock** where residential homes are located right across the road from the site.
- The loudest piece of equipment listed in the same University of Florida document is a concrete saw at 112 dBA. Workers using such equipment are always recommended to participate in an HCP.

5. [Purdue University](#) lists “military jet take-off from aircraft carrier with afterburner at 50 ft” as generating 130 dBA.
6. The average human pain threshold (again according to Purdue University) is 110 dBA.
7. Each 10 dBA increase doubles the subjective noise perception.

If GoTriangle’s predicted noise levels are correct, Farrington Road would no longer be safe for pedestrians or cyclists. The surrounding homes would become uninhabitable, including the retirement community of Culp Arbor located right across the street from the proposed site.

One reason why the ROMF will be so noisy is its size and shape which force trains to execute sharp turns while moving around the facility. The site’s shape is also the likely reason why the extremely noisy substation is to be located right behind the 4 foot berm that separates the facility from Farrington Road. The site was the least expensive but too narrow to be operational, without shutting down the largest Elementary School in Durham and several neighborhoods.

There are several additional concerns with the Farrington location.

1. The ROMF stores large quantities of controlled substances. This includes 1,000 gallons of used oil (after residents were promised that no oil changes would take place on site). Some of these substances are explosive. Others are toxic. This is supposed to happen within 1/4 mile of Creekside Elementary School. GoTriangle went through the entire planning process without knowing which kinds of controlled substances would be stored on the site. Last week, a representative had to compile a list based on chemicals stored at the Charlotte ROMF in response to a resident’s request.
2. The Farrington site contains wetlands which would be entirely destroyed. These wetlands help to control flooding of I-40 and surrounding areas.
3. The site prevents the future widening of Farrington road, which is one of only two significant roads that connect US15/501 with NC54.

After meeting with all Durham city council members, the neighboring residents are very concerned. It appears that our concerns are being ignored by city officials. We therefore ask you to intervene on behalf of the residents affected by the planned ROMF. Specifically, the project depends on state and federal funding (about \$1.5b of it). We ask you to withhold these funds until the Maintenance Facility is moved to another location.

Even the major stake holders of Duke U, Downtown Durham stakeholders, are crying foul.

<https://www.wral.com/news/local/video/18012872/>

<https://indyweek.com/news/durham/gotriangle-light-rail-downtown-durham-DPAC-american-tobacco-campus/>

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pamela Ransohoff

David Ransohoff, MD, UNC

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 9:38 AM
To: Jessica Zègre Hemsey
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaewitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: RE: Durham-Orange Light Rail Transit Project - please support

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Jessica Zègre Hemsey [mailto:jzhemsey@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 8:50 AM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Durham-Orange Light Rail Transit Project - please support

Good morning,

I am writing to ask for your support in the Durham-Orange Light Rail Transit Project. As a parent, professor, and community member I feel strongly that we need to take strides as a community to support a Light Rail Transit Project. Signing the agreement is in Chapel Hill's interest as it sets expectations about how GoTriange will address items of interest to Chapel Hill. Given the rapid development in the Triangle, signing a cooperative agreement is an indicator that Chapel Hill is in support of growth and economic development opportunities. The light rail will also benefit Chapel Hill for environmental reasons, a top priority as we grapple with global warming. Increasing opportunities for our community to travel without cars should be a standard.

Thank you for considering my request.

Jessica Hemsey

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 9:46 AM
To: info@chalt.org
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: email....FW: Towards a Better Cooperative Agreement for Chapel Hill
Attachments: DOLRT.CH.Agreement6.docx; ATT00001.htm

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: CHALT [mailto:info@chalt.org]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 9:42 AM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>; Pam Hemminger <phemminger@townofchapelhill.org>
Cc: Maurice Jones <mjones@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Towards a Better Cooperative Agreement for Chapel Hill

Good monning,

Tonight the Town Council will consider voting on a Cooperative Agreement with Gotriangle that will govern the construction and development of the portions of the Durham Orange Light Rail that would be built in Chapel Hill, assuming the project is fully funded.

Attached is the letter with as many signatures as we have gathered to this hour. We recommend that the Council step back and incorporate key steps that if taken will make this a stronger contract that will protect the citizens of Chapel Hill.

Thank for your careful consideration of these suggestions for improving this agreement.

Julie McClintock for
CHALT
info@chalt.org

November 28, 2018

Mayor Hemminger and Town Council Members:

It is the responsibility of this Council to work with GoTriangle to finalize a Cooperative Agreement that serves *Chapel Hill's* neighborhoods, businesses and citizens. Great care must be taken because the proposed agreement binds the Town contractually for the length of the term by setting a process that will allow decisions that will affect our community for a long time to come.

We are concerned that the draft agreement GoTriangle has presented to you has significant deficiencies:

- The agreement delegates important design and planning decisions to staff, thus abdicating Council members' responsibility to protect the health and safety of Chapel Hill residents;
- Planning for the station areas and the route has barely begun; plans do not identify or address the project's significant environmental, noise and traffic impacts;
- As written would not require that Town stormwater, noise and light standards are met;
- The agreement fails to provide adequate public notice for a full public hearing on the project route and the latest station plans; and
- Lacks specificity and clarification about referenced "terms and conditions."

We can do better! For example, Durham is considering a Cooperative Agreement that is far stronger than the one Chapel Hill is considering. Specifically, the 18-page Durham Cooperative Agreement includes wording that does not appear in the 10-page Chapel Hill Agreement, such as:

- Requiring that GoTriangle surface parking lots comply with local stormwater management standards;
- Establishing a full funding agreement to cover town and consultant review costs;
- Forming committees and a review process to review stormwater, utility and transportation infrastructure before the 90% plan review;
- Outlining specific documents (in addition to plan sets) to be submitted during the construction process. (*Link to full Durham agreement below.)

While state regulation does not require municipal review for some aspects of this project, Durham has shown by example that sound planning with affected citizens yields higher quality design plans and thus a Cooperative Agreement that better reflects the needs of their citizens. No such process has occurred in Chapel Hill. We are not aware of any opportunity to comment on current 50% plans at a Chapel Hill Council meeting.

Because of these deficiencies, we oppose action tonight by the Town Council on the current document. Simply put, Chapel Hill citizens should not pay the price for the inadequate foundational work upon which this Cooperative Agreement is based. We ask that you postpone your vote until several steps, listed below, are taken to protect the interests of Chapel Hill.

We make this request knowing that GoTriangle and others will pressure you to act quickly. But there is no need to act in haste according to the agreement itself, which states that approval of Third Party agreements must be completed “during the Engineering Phase” which, according to the timeline provided will continue into January 2019.

We ask the following:

- 1. Instruct the Town Manager and staff to outline a public process and timeline for the Station Areas** and a public review of the route so that the Council and the Town, take the lead - and responsibility - for land use planning by ensuring that stormwater, environmental, noise and traffic impacts of construction and development on neighborhoods are addressed at each of the station areas. Include a public review of the cost sharing agreement. It’s important that Chapel Hill not be left holding the bag for unanticipated infrastructure costs as GoTriangle works to cut and shift costs to stay on budget.
- 2. Ask the Town Manager, Town Attorney and staff to perform a side-by-side evaluation** of the Cooperative Agreements under consideration by Durham and Chapel Hill to identify areas where Chapel Hill’s agreement could be strengthened and improved.
- 3. Establish a process for review of transportation, stormwater, noise, and utility issues** prior to finalization of the 90% design plans, modeled on the one that Durham has laid out, e.g. advisory board review, citizen committees from areas affected by construction and development at stations and along the rail line.
- 4. Adopt a more robust and effective permit review process** than the town’s fairly weak Engineering Construction Permit (“ECP”) application which the current agreement establishes as the process to be used “in lieu of zoning review”. (** Link to ECP document below)
- 5. Adjust the termination date by tying it to the Gotriangle timeline.** North Carolina law now provides that if federal funding is not obtained by November 30, 2019, the project will not be considered for state funding in that year or in the future. Loss of state support will mean the end of the project. The agreement should thus set a termination date of **November 30, 2019**. If federal funds have been obtained by then, the project and Cooperative Agreement would move ahead. If not, the project will be dead and the region must move ahead to a more practical and cost effective transportation plan. We must not let ourselves be handcuffed to the failed DOLRT for two more years.
- 6. Reconsider the statement of Town support for this project which is included in Section B of this agreement.** We note that the Durham agreement lists 14 station areas as the benefits to their city. Chapel Hill’s agreement affirms this plan because, according to the document’s author, council “recognizes its value for its residents.” With two of the three off-campus station areas in Chapel

Hill on the chopping block, no connection to downtown, very little opportunity for economic or public benefits to Chapel Hill, rising project costs that threaten our own transit system and limited access to the system for low income residents and those not affiliated with UNC, we urge council to have an open and informed discussion about continued support for this project and about whether there may exist better transit options for the Town and its residents.

7. Correct Section C in the Recitals to reflect the true cost of the project, including the anticipated \$850-\$900 million in interest payments, which brings the total to \$3.3 billion.

8. Expand opportunities for resolution to include courts in Orange County rather than limiting it to Durham County.

9. Restore transparency and accountability for this project by establishing regular reports to staff and Council and set up a town webpage where all updates and approvals are posted. Follow LUMO procedures where the Manager flags significant or controversial decision items and brings them to the Town Council.

We appreciate your careful consideration and action on these items so as to better protect and represent the interests of Chapel Hill and to lay the groundwork for a more effective working relationship with GoTriangle.

Sincerely,

From these signers so far: Julie McClintock, Charles Berlin, Christy Bowman, Linda Brown, Alex Cabanes, Silvia Clements, Rob David, Sharon Epstein, Bob Epting, Tamra Finn, Deborah Finn, Cliff and Linda Haac, David and Cheri Hardman, Carol Hazard, Tom Henkel, Joan Guilkey, Bonnie Hauser, Bruce Henschel, Charles Humble, Rudy Juliano, Fred Lampe, Lester Levine, David Kiel, Linda Kopel, Ken Larsen, Molly McConnell, Amey Miller, John Morris, Mary Duran Olmeda, Phil Post, Jeff and Cheyl Prather, David Schwartz, Alan Snaveley, Del Snow, Sherry Stockton, David Tuttle, James and Sarah Valentine, Diane Willis, Neva Whybark

*The Durham-GoTriangle Cooperative Agreement:

<http://cityordinances.durhamnc.gov/OnBaseAgendaOnline/Meetings/ViewMeeting?id=281&doctype=1>

Memo describing Durham Council work toward a full-funding agreement:

<http://cityordinances.durhamnc.gov/OnBaseAgendaOnline/Meetings/ViewMeeting?id=281&doctype=1>

**Chapel Hill ECP application:

<https://www.townofchapelhill.org/home/showdocument?id=3166>

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 10:48 AM
To: radiomatt1@yahoo.com
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: email...RE: Please vote "YES" on the Cooperative Agreement between GoTriangle and the Town of Chapel Hill

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: radiomatt1@yahoo.com [mailto:radiomatt1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 10:40 AM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Please vote "YES" on the Cooperative Agreement between GoTriangle and the Town of Chapel Hill

Mayor Hemminger and Town Council:

Please vote "YES" tonight in support of the Cooperative Agreement between GoTriangle and the Town of Chapel Hill to jointly plan for the Durham-Orange Light Rail Transit Project route and station design.

This agreement provides the framework through which the town can ensure we accomplish the two most important goals this council has set for Chapel Hill, especially in the part of town my family calls home:

1) ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: The Gateway Station area in Chapel Hill (within walking distance of my own home) represents the town's best opportunity to attract a significant, tax-paying employer to town. This agreement assures the Town will have a role in guiding development of Gateway Station in a way that maximizes this opportunity in a manner consistent with our community's values. Supporting this agreement proves we are, in fact, "**open to business.**"

2) AFFORDABLE HOUSING: The Gateway Station area also provides the town's best opportunity to utilize Transit Oriented Development (TOD) to create both subsidized and market-rate housing that will truly make Chapel Hill "**a place for all.**" Not only does TOD facilitate creating housing at lower price points than traditional suburban development, it also dramatically reduces residents' transportation costs because car ownership isn't necessary.

Finally, you'll undoubtedly hear from the usual anti-DOLRT gaggle this evening asking you to delay, modify, or oppose this agreement. I urge you to consider the large number of engaged residents who can't be at tonight's meeting due to family, career, or academic obligations who SUPPORT this project and want to ensure Chapel Hill receives the maximum benefit from it. Tonight's vote is important to us. Your support—or failure to support—this agreement will directly impact which candidates many of us support or actively oppose next election.

Thank you for your consideration and your continued support of this vital project.

Matt Bailey

Colony Lake Neighborhood, Chapel Hill
radiomatt1@yahoo.com

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:31 PM
To: Linda Spallone
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: email..RE: How many times are you going to divide downtown durham. You have done it 3 times so far

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Linda Spallone [mailto:linda.spallone@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: How many times are you going to divide downtown durham. You have done it 3 times so far

Please please admit this is a bad design and quit now
The rail yard is too small, and in a horrible location The down town area doesn't need a fifty foot bridge

Duke hospital does not need the impediments to access the hospital.
It is not going online for 10 years. Quit and give us relief with need with a good bus system transport please .
Can't you see this is crazy the cost is outrageous.

Linda Spallone

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:33 PM
To: Del Snow
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: email...RE: Cooperative agreement

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Del Snow [mailto:djdsnow@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 3:42 PM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Cooperative agreement

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am asking you to look for the "cooperative" in the Cooperative Agreement before you tonight. With no specificity as to the extent of terms and conditions provided to you, Go Triangle seems to be trying to take advantage of some non-existent imminent deadline for a decision.

As our elected officials, I respect the fact that you take your responsibilities very seriously. It is more than unfortunate that some supporters of the DOLRT are parroting Go Triangle talking points rather than presenting substantiated facts.

For example, some supporters talk about Gateway's (in **Durham**) potential "economic development" in Chapel Hill. As far as I know, despite predictions of affordable housing and economic development in Chapel Hill, Go Triangle representatives were unable to provide you with the underlying assumptions behind them. It is especially alarming since the Town has not yet done any planning for the area and Eastowne, in Chapel Hill, is owned by UNC Healthcare, thereby limiting the economic potential of the area. Where will all of this growth occur?

I encourage you to have Go Triangle share the basis of their views as well as making sure that the "agreement" respects the constraints necessary to protect Chapel Hill. If Durham's agreement can reflect required cautions, there is no reason that ours can't as well.

Thank you for all of your hard work.

Del Snow

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:34 PM
To: Dave L
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: email...RE: DOLRT cooperative agreement

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin

Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office
405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Chapel Hill, NC 27514
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

-----Original Message-----

From: Dave L [mailto:laud9876@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 2:59 PM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: DOLRT cooperative agreement

All:

Please support the Cooperative Agreement between GoTriangle and Chapel Hill to jointly plan for the Durham-Orange Light Rail Transit Project route and station design. Your decisions will affect the potential for a robust future economy for Orange County as well as the Triangle and potentially Triad regions for the next 50 to 100 years.

I am encouraging you to support the Durham Orange Bus and Light Rail Plan. For an investment of 20% share in the DOLRT, Orange County residents will be gaining future access to an extensive regional transportation system via the downtown Durham hub that will provide convenient commuting access via the Durham Wake commuter train line to jobs both new and existing in Orange County, Durham, RTP, Cary and Raleigh.

Historically Orange County has had difficulty in attracting investment to develop new companies in an evolving and changing new millennium innovation economy. UNC graduates leave town to find jobs elsewhere. Our children leave town to find jobs elsewhere. The DOLRT will provide convenient access both in and out of Orange County from and to Orange County, Durham, RTP, Cary and Raleigh. The ease of commuting to Orange County will provide incentive for investment in new companies in our local Orange County economy. Our children won't have to leave town for employment. Our children will be enabled to live and work and conveniently commute at new jobs in Orange County or the Triangle. UNC graduates will have incentive to stay in Orange County to either work in Orange County or conveniently commute to jobs in Durham, RTP, Cary or Raleigh.

With a future expansion of the commuter rail line to Hillsborough and potentially beyond to Burlington, Greensboro and High Point, the incentive to develop new companies and jobs in the Triad as well as the Triangle becomes a force that allows our area to compete with the best of the innovation centers in the rest of the US and world.

Thank you
David Laudicina
Chapel Hill

Sent from my iPad

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:34 PM
To: jguilkey@nc.rr.com
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: FW: Petition to revise the Proposed Cooperative Agreement with GoTriangle
Attachments: Guilkey Petition to Town of Chapel Hill Mayor and Council.doc

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Joan Guilkey [mailto:jguilkey@nc.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 2:07 PM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Cc: Jeanne Brown <jbrown2@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Petition to revise the Proposed Cooperative Agreement with GoTriangle

Dear Mayor and Council,

Attached is a request I will present tonight asking that you NOT approve signatures to the current Agreement draft. You also should have received a letter signed by a growing number of citizens who just became aware of this agenda item.

I understand you never received answers to questions you have raised over the last several months--some about the Agreement and some about other aspects of the project. This is beyond belief.

Thank you for your careful attention to this outrageous behavior. Please do not let GoTriangle continue to rape our community resources.

Joan

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:35 PM
To: Kym Hunter
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: RE: Cooperative Agreement for DOLRT

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Kym Hunter [mailto:khunter@selcnc.org]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 1:15 PM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Cooperative Agreement for DOLRT

Dear Mayor Hemminger and councilmembers,

I am writing on behalf of the Southern Environmental Law Center to ask that you support the Cooperative Agreement between the Town of Chapel Hill and GoTriangle to jointly plan for the Durham-Orange Light Rail Transit Project.

SELC works with a wide variety of groups to promote mass transit and smart compact development through North Carolina. As we have seen in recent reports, climate change is an ever more pressing issue, and the transportation sector is a lead contributor to GHG emissions. Only by switching to development patterns that lessen driving do we have a hope of reducing these emissions. Fixed guideway projects like the light rail are

the ideal to promote such growth, as well as promoting economic development, healthy living, and vibrant diverse communities. We are so proud that our home town of Chapel Hill has long shown courage and leadership in its support of innovative and proactive solutions like the light rail. The Town is truly leading the way in our state, as it does on so many other issues.

Signing the cooperative agreement will help further signal confidence in the light rail project and set expectations as the project move forward. We hope you will continue your strong leadership with regard to this project, which will provide so much benefit to our Town and to our region.

Thank you,

Kym Hunter
Senior Attorney
Southern Environmental Law Center
601 West Rosemary Street, Suite 220
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27516-2356
Phone: (919) 967-1450; Fax: (919) 929-9421
SouthernEnvironment.org

This email may contain information that is privileged and confidential. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive email for the addressee), you may not use, copy, or disclose this email or any information therein. If you have received the email in error, please reply to the above address. Thank you.

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:35 PM
To: Selby, Christopher P
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: email...RE: Cooperative Agreement

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Selby, Christopher P [mailto:christopher_selby@med.unc.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 12:58 PM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Cooperative Agreement

Dear Mayor Hemminger and Chapel Hill Town Council,

I write to encourage Chapel Hill to support the Cooperative Agreement between the Town and GoTriangle which will allow for the joint planning of the Durham-Orange Light Rail project.

I live close to the planned light rail station at Leigh Village, just outside of Chapel Hill. In 1987 I moved to Chapel Hill to work at UNC in the laboratory of Dr. Aziz Sancar, who won the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 2015, and the Key to the City of Chapel Hill shortly thereafter. In 1997 I moved to my current residence in Durham, and to this day I commute to work full-time in the Sancar lab in Chapel Hill.

I support the light rail line since it will improve the quality of life in our region. A very important aspect of the rail line is the planned land use which includes densely populated areas with multiple uses surrounding the rail stations and attendant economic development. These areas will reduce reliance on vehicles and encourage walkable, close communities. I think that in decades to come, when the benefits are being felt, folks will look back and really appreciate the value of the light rail line much as they currently appreciate other past investments such as RTP and I-40.

I wish the line were available today not only for me to commute to work, but to readily access events in Chapel Hill and Durham, where parking and driving can be a hassle.

I believe that if Chapel Hill were to sign the Agreement to cooperate on the rail line planning, it would facilitate the establishment of the line. I hope the Town of Chapel Hill will make this commitment.

Sincerely,

Chris

Selby

138 Celeste Circle

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:35 PM
To: Sue Hunter
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: RE: support for cooperative agreement with GoTriangle

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Sue Hunter [mailto:huntress919@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 11:40 AM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: support for cooperative agreement with GoTriangle

I am writing to ask that you support signing the cooperative agreement between the Town of Chapel Hill and GoTriangle to jointly plan for the DOLRT project route and station design. The agreement serves Chapel Hill's best interests in several key ways. The agreement establishes our priorities and our expectations for this project. It sends a clear indication that Chapel Hill is open for business and supports economic development opportunities. In addition, the agreement shows our commitment to strengthening our transit infrastructure, and mitigating the impact of climate change by getting more people out of cars. The UN Report on Climate Change made clear that reducing dependency on cars, and getting more people onto buses and trains, is critical to reducing our carbon footprint.

As a resident of Chapel Hill, I have significant concerns about the approach we've taken toward development and how that's affected our tax base, economic opportunity, affordable housing, transit and the carbon footprint of our community. We have the choice to create big changes at the local level. I respectfully request that you help move this project and Chapel Hill forward by supporting signing the cooperative agreement with GoTriangle.

Sue Hunter

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:35 PM
To: Jason Baker
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: RE: Approve GoTriangle / ToCH cooperative agreement

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Jason Baker [mailto:jason@jasonbaker.us]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 11:23 AM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Approve GoTriangle / ToCH cooperative agreement

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing to ask you to act swiftly tonight to approve the cooperative agreement between GoTriangle and the town to move forward with planning for the Durham-Orange Light Rail and its related project.

I am impressed by the joint effort that has taken place between the staffs of all of the regional partners in this project to create a framework for addressing local concerns while also preventing us from unnecessarily dragging our feet to delay a transit project that frankly speaking we should have moved forward with a long time ago.

We're already behind: as a town, a region, a state, and a planet, at where we need to be with providing transit alternatives to automobiles, and I'm so thankful to see us actually making substantial progress towards the finish line of this critical project.

The US [National Climate Assessment](#) report issued last week made one thing absolutely clear: we CAN NOT DELAY in our efforts to build a different tomorrow, one that is dense, walkable, and transit-oriented. It's the defining issue by which our children and grandchildren will judge our era, if we even leave them a planet to judge from.

Will you act tonight to take the necessary next steps to take our region forward with the single most important tool we have for reshaping growth, getting people out of cars, and creating a future where we can all live, work, shop, and play free from automobiles?

Amy Harvey

From: Jeanette Coffin
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 4:36 PM
To: Ronald Carnes
Cc: Ben Hitchings; Brian Litchfield; Allen Buansi; Donna Bell; Hongbin Gu; Info - CAPA; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Lindsey Bineau; Michael Parker; Nancy Oates; Pam Hemminger; Rachel Schaevitz; Town Council; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver
Subject: RE: Chapel Hill & Go Triangle Transit efforts to forward a Rapid Transit initiative.

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Ronald Carnes [mailto:ronaldcarnes342@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 28, 2018 11:18 AM
To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>
Subject: Chapel Hill & Go Triangle Transit efforts to forward a Rapid Transit initiative.

I am an advocate for affordable housing and a more progressive and inclusive transit system in Chapel Hill. My major concern is that by supporting rapid transit as offered would doom the townships need for more pressing resolutions like Sunday and expanded Saturday service. Is this an issue that should be brought up this evening?

Ronald Carnes