

Steere petition

Name	Resident of Little Ridgefield?	Connection to Chapel Hill?	Would you like to add any message to the Town Council?
Tab Combs	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill; I work in Chapel Hill	
Cathy McDermott	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	I am very concerned about the cost and safety of the proposed path (#1) in addition to significant loss of property value for the neighborhood. Option 3 is clearly the more responsible choice for the safety of the drivers, pedestrians and bikers sharing this area as well as for the taxpayers of Chapel Hill.
Tom Craven	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Please consider the safety of the users of the path over the staff's desire for a straight line on a map. The staff proposed section along Fordham between Ridgefield and Willow Drive creates a less safe facility for bike and pedestrian traffic than enhancing the use of Hickory Drive as a shared street. There is literally not enough ROW to make Option 1 or 2 safe. If Option 1 or 2 is adopted, ped/bikers will get hit and the people who drive this road would be at risk of harming someone every day due the design. Also, an additional path along Fordham would unnecessarily remove mature tree canopy which is counter to the Town's goals for climate and general aesthetics and the additional impervious surface would exacerbate stormwater issues. If any of our concerns are unclear, please just ask any of us to show you the issue on site. The committees have not been presented a balanced view of this project by the town staff. I also support making Ridgefield and Walnut egress only as a part of this project, similar to what was done at the end of Rogerson and Oakwood.
Sylvia Steere	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Phil Page	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill; I work in Chapel Hill	We are not being "NIMBYs". We are being YIMFYS... "Yes in my front yard"
Jennifer Schulz	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill; I work in Chapel Hill	
David Schwartz	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill; I work in Chapel Hill	
Lindsay Garrison	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill; I work in Chapel Hill	Please heavily weight the feedback and concerns of the Little Ridgefield residents into your decision
Elizabeth O'Nan	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	There needs to be more protection for residents from the noise and pollution of 15-501, not less buffer.
Richard Scoltock	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Michael Murphy	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Bruce Henschel	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Fred Lampe	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Lawrence Chadbourne	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Micheal Belcher	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Vonda Stahl	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Ken Larsen	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Neil Stahl	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Is it really worth seriously damaging the livability of a neighborhood to create a path that's not up to standards and is dangerous?
Mary Dooley	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Mari A Lindsay	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Joan Guilkey	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	The Town should not oppose this community request. It is precedent-setting for a neighborhood to want the greenway in their street and could be the forerunner of the same acceptance of trails/greenways on private lands all over town. If others follow suit, we can soon have connectors for many areas that have been stymied from having walking/biking. It could be one important way we eventually grow our transit system.
Peter Kolsky	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	I live quite close to the area, and spend more time on my bike and on foot than in my car. This decision affects me.
Molly McConnell	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	I live in Glen Lennox and stand with Little Ridgefield and what is best and most protective and nurturing and safest for them! Please respect their judgment, needs, and common sense about this!
Micheal Belcher	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Sylvia T. Clements	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Susana Dancy	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	I don't understand the town's insistence on a bad solution.
Sandy Givens	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill; I work in Chapel Hill	
Jamezetta R Bedford	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill; I work in Chapel Hill	
Alyson Bell Scoltock	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Ellen Boylan	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Coming from Willow Drive, I use the existing bike path up to 4 times a week during good weather. The proposal to move it adjacent to Fordham (at considerable expense) defies common sense and good reason. I want to avoid the traffic noise and pollution of Fordham and cycle in the shade provided by the existing route. In fact, I hope the town avoids Fordham all the way from Glen Lennox to Willow by utilizing Hayes, which also provides great shade for bikes & pedestrians. Keep us well separated from the high traffic corridors for safety and comfort!
Richard C Boylan Jr	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	I live in Ridgefield and ride my bicycle often Hickory Drive. Please do what the neighbors in Little Ridgefield and adjacent neighborhoods want.

Thomas Grizzle	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Please, atmospheric CO2 concentration has gone from 280 ppm to 415 ppm. We must get out of our cars. This is a small step in that direction. Thank you.
Stacie Belcher	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
David Hartman	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Kris Silver	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Akindede Famoyegun	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	There is a viable compromise for a pathway for pedestrians and bikers and preserving the tree buffer that the neighborhood greatly benefits from. It would be a real disappointment if the town council didn't realize that and chose to forge ahead against the reasonable wishes of the little Ridgefield neighborhood.
Alan Huff	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Wes Dain	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;We live in Ridgefield, and bike this route.	
aemadry@gmail.com	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Christian Kammerman	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	Why would you do this? This is a terrible idea and goes against the grain of Chapel Hill culture. This is a waste of money.Anyone who is already willing to walk will not mind walking through this part of the neighborhood. It is clearly the safer option.All pedestrians injured as a result of this project will be associated with those who approved option 1.
Kim Stahl	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Option 1 has no benefits and many deficits. The Town needs to be looking for "any" viable alternatives to removing mature trees, and this is actually a safer, less-expensive alternative. We need to apply common sense to this problem. Spending additional money to measure and design options will just show what we already know.
Virginia	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Charles Harris	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Shadi Cinpinski	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	I have already emphasized my concerns and opinions on options 3 and 4 to Bill Webster.
Saeed Kazemian	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Eric Cinpinski	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
MA Kazemian	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Philip McDaniel	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	I live in Briarcliff and have been a regular/dedicated bike commuter and recreational cyclist in Chapel Hill for over 20 years, and I see the sidepath as an unnecessary use of funds (even if the funds were appropriated/rewarded expressly for the purpose of the sidepath).The existing bikeway on Hickory Dr is an enjoyable route, and places no undue burden on cyclists approaching from the south (i.e. from the existing path adjacent to the Rainbow Soccer fields). While the proposed sidepath on Fordham will create a straight path from Ridgefield Rd to Willow Dr, I can't think of a less pleasant place in all of Chapel Hill to ride my bike.I can confidently say that even if the sidepath on Fordham is ultimately built, I will opt to use the existing route on Hickory every time I ride my bike in that area.I urge you to consider the negative effects that this project will have on the residents who back up to Fordham Blvd when evaluating the necessity of constructing a 1/3 mile bikepath that already has a completely viable and preferable alternative on Hickory Dr.Thank you for your time, and for your careful consideration on this matter. Sincerely, Philip McDaniel
Makayla Cinpinski	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Cyrus Cinpinski	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Xander Cinpinski	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Geoff Green	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	
Melissa Hyland	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	
Leslie Kelley	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	I am a 15 year former resident/owner of 426 Hickory Dr., (2003-2018)Shared street enhances the experience of residents AND guests of Little Ridgefield. Please don't destroy the tree buffer between these homes and the ridiculously noisy, crowded 15-501.A bike lane is so welcome, and visitors can join residents in using the street to travel.
Kelly McMillen	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	Option 3 please
Christine Carlson	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Please hear what the residents have to say. Option 1 is another unnecessary assault on our environment while Option 3 solves the problem with much less impact on OUR environment and is less costly. Thank you, Chris Carlson
Debbie Collicutt	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Susan Salzberg	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Please use your common sense in approving Option 3 of the Hickory Shared Street
Mauricio Castro	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	The resources for the project should be utilized on more essential needs in the city such as unsafe crossings, potholes in the streets from previous winters or repairs of other paths on wooden bridges. On Estes Dr, almost across from the Post office, there is a dangerous erosion taking place which poses a great danger to pedestrians walking towards the Community Center.
Daniel Powers	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	

Christopher Burgess	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	
Nicki	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Please direct the bike/walking path on Hickory Drive. Please leave the trees alone.It will ruin the neighborhood feel. It's also safer that people not walk next to 15-501. I welcome a path, just not next to the road.
Kinsey McMillen	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Please do not remove the trees!
Owen McMillen	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Keep the trees
Gary Miller	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Routine of the bike path of Hickory is my preference over losing the tree buffer between Hickory and Fordham.I do not want speed tables added, but a routing of the bikes on Hickory would be the safer and environmentally friend option.
David Bland	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Alex McCumber	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	With the safety concerns and mature tree removal cited within the designs, it's ironic these are designated as options 1 and 2. Option 3 has the greatest support of those living in the neighborhood.
Wayne Greene	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	
Rachel Holley	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Option 1 is not preferable to residents where this will be affected and would also cost all Orange Co tax payers more money and be less attractive and safe to all participants involved
Jessica Cannon	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Please consider sensible alternatives before cutting down even more of our town's tree canopy and spending unnecessary money for a few hundred feet of sidewalk that inevitably switches to the other side of the road at Willow. This will add even more concrete/impermeable surface to a flood-prone area. It will be an eyesore to residents, drivers, and visitors of University Place. It will create a dangerous intersection for pedestrians and drivers. Sometimes spending grant dollars for the sake of spending grant dollars is nonsensical, and this is a perfect example of that concept (I am a grant writer). It is okay to abandon a project/idea once it becomes apparent that was a bad one all along. Thank you for your service and for reading!
Linda Palladino	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Madeleine Mason	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	
Ian Jacobs	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	
Doris B. Powers	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;Retired	<p>Two surveys that I think are important have not been done by TOCH that I am aware of. First, the heights of the west (back) property lines of the 400 and 300 blocks of Hickory Dr., which differ by about 7' to 10', have evidently not been surveyed.The 300 block is the lower of the two. Our back yard in the 300 Hickory block is about as high as the pavement on Fordham Blvd. Is the path to be the same height for the two blocks? If this is the case, will a retaining wall need to be built on the 400 block to keep the path level? Or will the path on the 400 block have an uphill and a downhill on either end?Could this latter situation become a safety issue for young children, who are just learning triking and biking skills?</p> <p>Second, we have seen no survey of reports of accidents at Fordham Blvd and Willow Drive. Our home is within hearing distance of big crashes at or near that intersection—I would guess at a rate of about four big ones per year, bringing ambulances, police, and sometimes fire trucks. One big accident occurring in the northbound lanes of Fordham Blvd.(ca. 18 Oct 2018) began near the south end of the 300 block of Hickory with one car somehow wound up tucked into the existing bushes, and the second car flipped onto its top 20' feet or so south of Willow. This accident spanned nearly the entire back side of 300 Hickory Dr. blockIt was the existing trees that stopped these wildly out-of-control cars. What if there were an unprotected bike path there, instead of the trees?</p> <p>Further, this past fall has seen a sharp increase in the amount of traffic on Fordham Blvd.Apartment on Fordham near Elliott Road will add more vehicular traffic on Fordham. And neither Wegman's Food Market nor UNC's Medical Office Building in Eastowne are yet open for business!What is the Town of Chapel Hill going to do to keep Fordham Blvd a viable artery in and out of Chapel Hill? How long will it take us residents to go to Barnes and Noble or Home Depot or Durham? I am disappointed with too much building in this immediate area.It is clear to me that bikes, trikes, children, and adults are not a good mix so close to the congested Fordham thoroughfare that is becoming a parking lot, especially around the noon hour and from 3:30-6:00 pm.</p> <p>I am asking you to scratch Options 1 and 2 of the proposed Sidepath and move forward with Option 3.But be careful with bikes, trikes, and young children trying to cross Willow onto the future proposed greenway, for westbound traffic on Willow often backs up to Hickory or beyond. And cars move quite quickly travelling east on Willow as they move past the Hickory/Willow intersection.</p>
Ken Cannon	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	I support option 3 and ask that the council consider heavily the economic efficiency and residential value of this option. Thank you!
Ana Gabriela Dimate	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Maggie Craven	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Biking next to a busy highway with lots of intersections and no traffic lights is dangerous for a kid. I'm safer on a neighborhood street.
Juan Dimate	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill;I work in Chapel Hill	

Chris Givens	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Arthur & Deborah Finn	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Massimiliano Ignaccolo	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Gary Richards	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
John Wagner	No	Born and raised in Chapel Hill, and worked there for 30+ years	
Terry and Robert Vance		Chapel Hill	
Laurel Goldman		Chapel Hill	
Vivian Foushee	No	Chapel Hill	
Fred Lampe	No	Chapel Hill resident, Coker Hills	
Molly McConnell	No	Glen Lennox	
Del Snow	No	Northwood	
Linda Brown	No	Summerfield Crossing	
Lindsay Garrison	No	Kirkwood	
Dan Sissors	No	Kirkwood	
Joan Guilkey	No	Greenwood	
Charles Humble	No	Chapel Hill	
Lib Hutchby	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Please pay attention to the flooding that has already occurred in areas where parking lots and pavement allow storm water to accumulate or run off into swollen creeks. Protecting and retaining trees is the best way to prevent problems with drainage and the most appropriate way to have a habitat for birds.
Bonnie McDonald	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	a) the plan is dangerous because it increases conflict between motorists and pedestrians/bicyclists, b) the plan is unrealistic due to topography, c) the plan is redundant, d) the plan unnecessarily removes roughly 2 acres of desperately needed tree canopy, and e) the goals can be achieved more cheaply, more safely, and with less environmental impact by simply formalizing Hickory Dr as a shared street.
Chris Carlson	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	Cutting down more life saving trees is hardly progress. We've done far too much of it in our community. Council members believe in climate change and this proposal will only add to it. A less costly and safer proposal is before you. Please listen and consider, with integrity, what the neighbors have to say. Don't appear to be 45 ers. Thanks, Chris Carlson
Glenn	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	a) the plan is dangerous because it increases conflict between motorists and pedestrians/bicyclists, b) the plan is unrealistic due to topography, c) the plan is redundant, d) the plan unnecessarily removes roughly 2 acres of desperately needed tree canopy, and e) the goals can be achieved goals more cheaply, more safely, and with less environmental impact by simply formalizing Hickory Dr as a shared street.
Emme	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	a) the plan is dangerous because it increases conflict between motorists and pedestrians/bicyclists, b) the plan is unrealistic due to topography, c) the plan is redundant, d) the plan unnecessarily removes roughly 2 acres of desperately needed tree canopy, and e) the goals can be achieved goals more cheaply, more safely, and with less environmental impact by simply formalizing Hickory Dr as a shared street.
El Fisseha	No	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	
Declan	Yes	Yes, I live in Chapel Hill	a) the plan is dangerous because it increases conflict between motorists and pedestrians/bicyclists, b) the plan is unrealistic due to topography, c) the plan is redundant, d) the plan unnecessarily removes roughly 2 acres of desperately needed tree canopy, and e) the goals can be achieved goals more cheaply, more safely, and with less environmental impact by simply formalizing Hickory Dr as a shared street.