

## Amy Harvey

---

**From:** Jeanette Coffin  
**Sent:** Monday, April 20, 2020 8:56 AM  
**To:** jguilkey@nc.rr.com  
**Cc:** Judy Johnson; Allen Buansi; Amy Ryan; Hongbin Gu; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Michael Parker; Pam Hemminger; Tai Huynh; Amy Harvey; Carolyn Worsley; Catherine Lazorko; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ralph Karpinos; Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver  
**Subject:** RE: Agenda Item 4--20-0248 for Virtual Meeting on April 22

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin  
Office Assistant  
[Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office](#)  
[405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.](#)  
[Chapel Hill, NC 27514](#)  
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

---

**From:** jguilkey@nc.rr.com [mailto:jguilkey@nc.rr.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 18, 2020 11:02 PM  
**To:** Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>  
**Cc:** Manager <manager@townofchapelhill.org>  
**Subject:** Agenda Item 4--20-0248 for Virtual Meeting on April 22

**External email:** Don't click links or attachments from unknown senders. To check or report forward to [reportspam@townofchapelhill.org](mailto:reportspam@townofchapelhill.org)

I have some questions about the interview criteria for applicants to two boards and commissions.

Regarding the Planning Board—

Should we include “Placemaking” for this board as well as CDC? Planning, to me, includes both depth of knowledge of planning AND at least a passing interest in citizen health, happiness and well-being.

Does “Housing” include applicants with architectural or construction interests/expertise—in other words, developers? We have had several instances of conflict of interest in the past on both the CDC and the Planning Board where members have not recused themselves. We must do a better job of avoiding this situation involving developers of various sorts. What is meant by the criteria labeled “Housing.”?

Regarding Board of Adjustment—

Should we add “Law” as a criterion? Limiting members to planners or former planners seems to be too restricting. In my opinion, this is the most difficult board to fill, and it needs to be very strong.

I raise the above questions in an effort to help Council have a better tool, not as a criticism of work by the Communications and Public Affairs staff.

Best,  
Joan