**From:** Jeanette Coffin

Sent: Monday, September 14, 2020 10:05 AM

To: H. Krasny

Cc: Allen Buansi; Amy Ryan; Hongbin Gu; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman; Michael Parker;

Pam Hemminger; Shakera Vaughan; Tai Huynh; Amy Harvey; Ann Anderson; Carolyn Worsley; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ran Northam; Ross

Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver

Subject: RE: Incorrectly Stated Finding in Town Presentation-- Mayor & Council/Chapel Hill

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin

Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office
405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Chapel Hill, NC 27514
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

----Original Message-----

From: H. Krasny [mailto:hkrasny@mindspring.com]

Sent: Sunday, September 13, 2020 8:11 AM

To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>

Subject: Incorrectly Stated Finding in Town Presentation-- Mayor & Council/Chapel Hill

Importance: High

External email: Don't click links or attachments from unknown senders. To check or report forward to reportspam@townofchapelhill.org

Re: Omitted Language In the Slide Presentation by the Town at the Council Meeting on 9-09-20 Reviewing the Christ Community Church Proposed Special Use Permit (SUP).

Dear Mayor and Council-

On the above referenced matter, there were some omissions in the slide of the Staff presentation to Council members at your above referenced review of the Church's draft SUP on 9-09-20. Specifically they occurred in the slide describing the 4 FINDINGS that must me met to receive a Special Use Permit.

One of the omissions represents a serious error that canNOT be overlooked.

Here follows 3 different versions (A, B, and C) of two of the FINDINGS (#3 & #4).

- A. Four FINDINGS in Language previously cited In 2019:
- 3) That the use of development is located, designed, and proposed to be operated so as to maintain or enhance THE VALUE OF contiguous property, or that the use or development is a public necessity;
- 4) That the use or development conforms with the general plans for the physical development of the Town as embodied in the Land Use Management Plan and in the Comprehensive Plan.
- B. Four FINDINGS in Language Cited In Resolution A (Application For a Special Use Permit for Christ Community Church...)
- 3) Be located, designed, and operated so as to maintain or enhance the value of contiguous property; and
- 4) Conform to the general plans for the physical development of the Town as embodied in the Land Use Management Ordinance and in the 2020 Comprehensive Plan.
- C. Four FINDINGS Cited by Staff (R. McDonnell) in a slide Presentation at the Council Meeting on 9-09-20.
- 3) Maintain or enhance contiguous property, or is a public necessity.
- 4) Meet goals of comprehensive plan.

In Finding #3 (see "C", above) the key word "VALUE" has been conspicuously omitted in the Staff's oral presentation and slide to Council following the words "maintain and enhance." This key word's omission alters what is the required measurement that the Church MUST attain to prove that it maintains or enhances the existing adjacent developed properties.

Furthermore, a phrase ("or is a public necessity") was added to Finding #3 in the slide. It does NOT appear anywhere in Resolution A's list of the 4 FINDINGS.

Also, in the second FINDING (#4) (see "C" above) another omission occurred in the Staff's presentation, but of a less serious nature. There have been more than one comprehensive Land Use Plans in Chapel Hill. I believe that reference to the current Plan, "2020 Plan," as it appeared in Resolution A would have been an appropriate correction.

These aforementioned corrections would have insured the slide's correctness as well as its clarity. As indicated, the omission of the key word "VALUE"

in FINDING #3 is critical to that FINDING. I hope you will please refer to the 4 FINDINGS in Resolution A as the correct stipulations to be met for an SUP to be approved, and NOT those that appeared in error in the slide presentation by Staff.

In my humble opinion, I do NOT believe space in the slide or concern for clutter can justify the aforementioned omissions, especially the word "VALUE." The important thing, however, at this point is that it is duly noted, especially by new Council members if they are NOT familiar with the wording in this requirement.

Thank you for your attention and consideration of this request.

Respectfully, Harvey Krasny

**From:** Jeanette Coffin

Sent: Monday, September 28, 2020 9:58 AM

To: H. Krasny

**Cc:** Judy Johnson; Allen Buansi; Amy Ryan; Hongbin Gu; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman;

Michael Parker; Pam Hemminger; Shakera Vaughan; Tai Huynh; Amy Harvey; Ann Anderson; Carolyn Worsley; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ran Northam;

Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver

**Subject:** RE: Opposition to Proposed Development of an Institutional Structure at 141 Erwin Rd-- Mayor &

Council/Chapel Hill

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin

Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office
405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Chapel Hill, NC 27514
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

----Original Message-----

From: H. Krasny [mailto:hkrasny@mindspring.com]

Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2020 2:02 AM

To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>

Subject: Opposition to Proposed Development of an Institutional Structure at 141 Erwin Rd-- Mayor & Council/Chapel

Hill

External email: Don't click links or attachments from unknown senders. To check or report forward to reportspam@townofchapelhill.org

Re: Opposition to Proposed Development of an Institutional Structure (Christ Community Church) at 141 Erwin Rd.

Dear Mayor & Town Council-

I'd like to reiterate once again my deepest concern for and opposition to the construction of ANY development of a house of worship or an Institutional structure, at the site on 141 Erwin Rd (corner of Erwin Rd & Old Oxford Rd).

This land on which it would be built has been for decades zoned for and occupied by LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL use or R-2, which is TOTALLY consistent with and in harmony with the land use of the 7 surrounding subdivisions totaling 578 homeowners-- Windhover, McGregor, Erwin Village, Kirkwood, Cosgrove, Summerfield Crossing, and Old Oxford.

- 1. This house of worship will bring an inordinate amount of traffic to this community. Like the traffic count inadvertently undertaken immediately after 9-11-01 sponsored by the Marriott, this Traffic study is again extremely undervalued due to obtaining a count during the Easter holiday period. It is therefore UNRELIABLE, and the Report gives a FALSE SENSE of adequate infrastructure to support the added traffic to this area. This has been repeatedly ignored when brought to the attention of the Town;
- 2. This house of worship and its LARGE impervious surfaced parking lot will only bring MORE Stormwater to a neighborhood (Old Oxford) already plagued for years with repeated flooding of yards. The Church says they alone can fix it, despite years of repeated flooding and failed attempts by the Town to fix what apparently canNOT be fixed;
- 3. This house of worship will build a structure 4 to 5 stories high that will tower over ANY of the 578 one to 2 story residential homes in the surrounding community. AND
- 4. Last but not least, in order to approve the Church's SUP the Church MUST COMPLY WITH 4 FINDINGS, 2 of which are:
- A) FINDING: Be located, designed, and operated so as to maintain or enhance the VALUE of CONTIGUOUS property [ie, adjacent, nearby, neighboring].

Given the aforementioned 3 key, undeniable facts, how can this Town HONESTLY say that the Church will NOT LOWER the property value of homes in this neighborhood? You do NOT need an Appraiser's license to know that this will occur. It's PLAIN COMMON SENSE!

On a personal note, I ask each of you on our Town Council whether you would be able to cheerfully welcome a building and parking lot of this size and proportion building directly next door to or across the street from your home, that which possibly represents your greatest investment that you will make in your lifetime.

### AND

B) FINDING: Conform to the general plans for the physical development of the Town as embodied in the LAND USE Management Ordinance and in the 2020 Comprehensive Plan.

NOTE: A House of Worship does NOT conform to the AMENDED 2020 Land Use Map which CLEARLY shows this overall neighborhood where the Church wishes to build as being ALL "Low Density RESIDENTIAL" use. This House of Worship is NOT A RESIDENCE. NO Ordinance allowing it to be built in R-2 zoned neighborhood can or will change that fact. PERIOD!

I and my fellow homeowners bought into the surrounding neighborhood/community with the full KNOWLEDGE, UNDERSTANDING and RELIANCE that this area would remain zoned, occupied and maintained IN APPEARANCE as an ALL RESIDENTIAL DESTINATION in our Town. Approval of this structure or any modification of it will ALTER our ALL RESIDENTIAL community's status.

I therefore ask the Town to PLEASE HONOR that prior commitment to us all and NOT permit the use of this site for other than a purely LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL use, which would be consistent with the use of the surrounding 7 ALL RESIDENTIAL subdivisions. A place of worship does NOT qualify as a Residence.

I believe with all my heart that NO motivation, personal, political or otherwise, should be allowed to supersede the rights and expectations of residential homeowners in this and the immediate adjacent subdivisions by turning or converting their immediate adjacent property into a use other than "Residential." These homeowners have the right to expect the QUIET ENJOYMENT and USE of their home and property, and that the immediate adjacent property will remain as such for LOW Density Residential use, instead of being converted by an outside party into an Institutional use, no matter how noble and righteous its cause may be.

I respectfully ask that the Council please consider denying this application to construct this house of worship, an Institutional structure, at 141 Erwin Rd.

Thank you, Harvey Krasny Homeowner

**From:** Jeanette Coffin

**Sent:** Monday, September 28, 2020 9:58 AM rebeccacastorsmith@gmail.com

**Cc:** Judy Johnson; Allen Buansi; Amy Ryan; Hongbin Gu; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman;

Michael Parker; Pam Hemminger; Shakera Vaughan; Tai Huynh; Amy Harvey; Ann Anderson; Carolyn Worsley; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ran Northam;

Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver

**Subject:** FW: Petition concerning the Erwin Road Church SUP to be voted on 10/7/20 **Attachments:** Submitted Erwin Rd SUP Petition from Windhover and Old Oxford09262020.pdf

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office
405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Chapel Hill, NC 27514
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

**From:** Rebecca Smith [mailto:rebeccacastorsmith@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, September 26, 2020 3:38 PM

To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>

Subject: Petition concerning the Erwin Road Church SUP to be voted on 10/7/20

External email: Don't click links or attachments from unknown senders. To check or report forward to reportspam@townofchapelhill.org

Dear Mayor and Town council members

I am submitting the attached petition from homeowners in Windhover subdivision and on Old Oxford road who are asking you to deny approval for an SUP for the proposed church on Erwin Road across from Windhover Drive. Our reasons for the desired denial of the SUP are outlined on the attached petition.

On the 6 pages of the attached petition, you will find 49 signatures from these areas.

Sincerely, Rebecca Smith Windhover Property Owners Association president

- 1. We desire to preserve the quality, character, and value of our neighborhoods, <u>zoned low-density residential</u>.
- 2. We fear that a SUP for Christ Community Church will set a precedent for other mixed-use developments to encroach on our neighborhoods, such as the Marriott Hotel project.
- 3. We consider the size and height of the proposed main building of the project to be significantly out of character with the surrounding one-family homes.
- 4. We feel endangered by the projected increased traffic flow, in particular, at the already dangerous intersection of Erwin Road, Old Oxford, and Windhover Drive.
- 5. We are afraid that the stormwater run-off, in spite of the developers' assurances, will worsen and put homes below the proposed project at greater risk of damage.

Signature	Printed Name	Street Address	Telephone o	
Rebecea Smith	Rebecca Smith	207 Wind	hover Dr	reboccacos
L'Opel A. Foppe	Unichael H. Hoppe	205 Wal	hove Dr.	(919) 929.70
Carol Hoppe	Carol Hope	2005 Windh		11 4
Janna/Viaixin Ch	, '	203 Wirdhow		108)720-5/25
July Eliner	FAMILY FOLKEDY	SOL MIND HOR	EL DR. (	1+ Be 483
Tell & lolm	MISE JOHNSON	201 WIMDUC		67 152 98%
y/Li	Yne Li	105 Wrna		yueli18 @gma
	Jianping-Shen		•	@gma
Donald Hatelling	DONKEHELKAND	103 Wand	hover Dr	(919-968-0
Ping Lu	Rouyu Xu	102 wind	hover Dr.	9193812159
mest	MARIE McDorale		hover DR	919967439

- 1. We desire to preserve the quality, character, and value of our neighborhoods, <u>zoned low-density residential</u>.
- 2. We fear that a SUP for Christ Community Church will set a precedent for other mixed-use developments to encroach on our neighborhoods, such as the Marriott Hotel project.
- 3. We consider the size and height of the proposed main building of the project to be significantly out of character with the surrounding one-family homes.
- 4. We feel endangered by the projected increased traffic flow, in particular, at the already dangerous intersection of Erwin Road, Old Oxford, and Windhover Drive.
- 5. We are afraid that the stormwater run-off, in spite of the developers' assurances, will worsen and put homes below the proposed project at greater risk of damage.

Signature	<u>Printed Name</u>	Street Address	Telephone or email
Dee	DORGEN ROSS	108 Windhover	Dr 919-967.2300
HER	Howard K. Ross	108Windhover.	DZ. 919-604.0097
AR	Alex Ross	108 Windhover ]	DOZ 919.76/0.1610
PS	Peimin Shao	110 Windhover D	
es k	C. Brundon. Kattelkur	103 w ind howa	d, 919 968 6310
Smitho	& Sizsizh. Wong	200 Windhow	919-656- er Dr. 3999 919-968
Cerc.	Dry Kian Chang	200 Windhou	919-968 11 - 3797 11 - D 200 200
Yeun:		1	1/10ver Dr. 929-7599
Mune	) Wei Jia		r. 919-9239296
XV Ju	Li Xu Jun LI		er Dr 919-923-399
W	CHRISTOPHER GELDL	SIE MINDHOURE	on 957 454 8099

- 1. We desire to preserve the quality, character, and value of our neighborhoods, <u>zoned low-density residential</u>.
- 2. We fear that a SUP for Christ Community Church will set a precedent for other mixed-use developments to encroach on our neighborhoods, such as the Marriott Hotel project.
- 3. We consider the size and height of the proposed main building of the project to be significantly out of character with the surrounding one-family homes.
- 4. We feel endangered by the projected increased traffic flow, in particular, at the already dangerous intersection of Erwin Road, Old Oxford, and Windhover Drive.
- 5. We are afraid that the stormwater run-off, in spite of the developers' assurances, will worsen and put homes below the proposed project at greater risk of damage.

Signature	<b>Printed Name</b>	Street Address	Telephone or email
Miller			oldepostete@ameil.com
	John Gustantra	y zzzwindlower	D. law group Tous!
acepes	Jurany Tony	1	V W. Lungyunama /hou co
A Son For	& Guch Dia	of Zi) window	e Or jiangg ( O gneil.
	Jungielin	J.m 20	· 4 · 4
N/S	DANDTRUSE	108 WINDATOUSE PC	DONARD TROSK @
Q.S.	GH/n Sin	109 Windhover P	L gasunolagonoila
57	Shrang Li	109 Wardhover	PL ekse famægna
milly	" Walid Pamack	an 107 wirds	MACH WM camodano
	Ghada Ramad	lan 107 Windle	New Plaining 8ma
John h	LIGING	101 Win	hove pl Conshielu Co yohan
			(ourhielu(ayabar

- 1. We desire to preserve the quality, character, and value of our neighborhoods, <u>zoned low-density residential</u>.
- 2. We fear that a SUP for Christ Community Church will set a precedent for other mixed-use developments to encroach on our neighborhoods, such as the Marriott Hotel project.
- 3. We consider the size and height of the proposed main building of the project to be significantly out of character with the surrounding one-family homes.
- 4. We feel endangered by the projected increased traffic flow, in particular, at the already dangerous intersection of Erwin Road, Old Oxford, and Windhover Drive.
- 5. We are afraid that the stormwater run-off, in spite of the developers' assurances, will worsen and put homes below the proposed project at greater risk of damage.

<u>Signature</u>	Printed Name	Street Address	Telephone or email
my, Un	WEI JIANG	101 Windhoverpl	jiangwei-1@hoto
Hagling Ma	Hongling Ma	209 Windhowe Dr.	
	- Xun Jiang	l I <u>l</u>	11
Thong We	Jihong Wa	210 Windhover Dr	r. jihonywu@hofmail.
Solus Jhon	Xilong Zhao		Dr. xzhaox@gmai
Jing Qin		. 1 0	Dr. jing9/87.09mail
6 h //	Qing Cheng.	202 Windhover 7	r. gcheng1996@yahoo.
~			
Fangheea y	wan Fayluy	in 110 winely	v. jieyu888@hotmail.a Grace.Yuan2@ wver Dr. Eine
Latreely C	by Paren Mate	tgh. 104 windhou	er DR. PADRAIGMOUT
Stany	. 1	220 Winds	vous somobile

- 1. We desire to preserve the quality, character, and value of our neighborhoods, <u>zoned low-density residential</u>.
- 2. We fear that a SUP for Christ Community Church will set a precedent for other mixed-use developments to encroach on our neighborhoods, such as the Marriott Hotel project.
- 3. We consider the size and height of the proposed main building of the project to be significantly out of character with the surrounding one-family homes.
- 4. We feel endangered by the projected increased traffic flow, in particular, at the already dangerous intersection of Erwin Road, Old Oxford, and Windhover Drive.
- 5. We are afraid that the stormwater run-off, in spite of the developers' assurances, will worsen and put homes below the proposed project at greater risk of damage.

Signature	<b>Printed Name</b>	Street Address	Telephone or email	
VE 70 (44.)				
Joshen Co	o Yasheng Gao	204 Windhover Dr. Chapel Hill	20 20 20 2	
702	< Yalin	Dr. Chapel Hill 204 Windhover Dr. Chapel He	1 919-28-968	
my 800	Donya Rose	108 Windhover Pl Chapl Hill 27514	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		,	tuk tiga gramas	
a comme			AR ALLEGY C	
			en e	
			The state of the s	
			The state of the s	
			n garan kili sajar	

- 1. We desire to preserve the quality, character, and value of our neighborhoods, <u>zoned low-density residential</u>.
- 2. We fear that a SUP for Christ Community Church will set a precedent for other mixed-use developments to encroach on our neighborhoods, such as the Marriott Hotel project.
- 3. We consider the size and height of the proposed main building of the project to be significantly out of character with the surrounding one-family homes.
- 4. We feel endangered by the projected increased traffic flow, in particular, at the already dangerous intersection of Erwin Road, Old Oxford, and Windhover Drive.
- 5. We are afraid that the stormwater run-off, in spite of the developers' assurances, will worsen and put homes below the proposed project at greater risk of damage.

Signature	<b>Printed Name</b>	Street Address	Teler	hone or email
111/2-	MARK EVANS	2206 E.0100X1	ORD	91981398
m	MARK EVANS EliZABETH EVANS	2206 E. Ob OX	FORD	9102334
Eh_				
9.				
-				
		2		

**From:** Jeanette Coffin

Sent: Monday, September 28, 2020 10:00 AM

To: Big Mark

**Cc:** Judy Johnson; Allen Buansi; Amy Ryan; Hongbin Gu; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman;

Michael Parker; Pam Hemminger; Shakera Vaughan; Tai Huynh; Amy Harvey; Ann Anderson; Carolyn Worsley; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ran Northam;

Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver

**Subject:** RE: Opposition to Proposed Development of an Institutional Structure at 141 Erwin Rd-- Mayor &

Council/Chapel Hill

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin

Jeanette Coffin Office Assistant Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office 405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. Chapel Hill, NC 27514 (o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

----Original Message-----

From: Big Mark [mailto:markevans.usa@gmail.com]

Sent: Sunday, September 27, 2020 8:10 AM To: H. Krasny <a href="mailto:krasny@mindspring.com">krasny@mindspring.com</a>

Cc: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>

Subject: Re: Opposition to Proposed Development of an Institutional Structure at 141 Erwin Rd-- Mayor &

Council/Chapel Hill

External email: Don't click links or attachments from unknown senders. To check or report forward to reportspam@townofchapelhill.org

I absolutely concur with Mr. Krasny and all the other homeowners in our neighborhood. I live 3 doors down from this proposed development.

```
All the best,
Mark Evans
919-813-9887
```

### Sent from my iPhone

```
> On Sep 27, 2020, at 2:01 AM, H. Krasny < hkrasny@mindspring.com > wrote:
> Re: Opposition to Proposed Development of an Institutional Structure
> (Christ Community Church) at 141 Erwin Rd.
>
> Dear Mayor & Town Council-
> I'd like to reiterate once again my deepest concern for and opposition
> to the construction of ANY development of a house of worship or an
> Institutional structure, at the site on 141 Erwin Rd (corner of Erwin
> Rd & Old Oxford Rd).
> This land on which it would be built has been for decades zoned for
> and occupied by LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL use or R-2, which is TOTALLY
> consistent with and in harmony with the land use of the 7 surrounding
> subdivisions totaling 578 homeowners-- Windhover, McGregor, Erwin
> Village, Kirkwood, Cosgrove, Summerfield Crossing, and Old Oxford.
> 1. This house of worship will bring an inordinate amount of traffic
> to this community. Like the traffic count inadvertently undertaken
> immediately after 9-11-01 sponsored by the Marriott, this Traffic
> study is again extremely undervalued due to obtaining a count during
> the Easter holiday period. It is therefore UNRELIABLE, and the Report
> gives a FALSE SENSE of adequate infrastructure to support the added
> traffic to this area. This has been repeatedly ignored when brought
> to the attention of the Town;
>
> 2. This house of worship and its LARGE impervious surfaced parking lot
> will only bring MORE Stormwater to a neighborhood (Old Oxford) already
> plagued for years with repeated flooding of yards. The Church says
> they alone can fix it, despite years of repeated flooding and failed
> attempts by the Town to fix what apparently canNOT be fixed;
>
> 3. This house of worship will build a structure 4 to 5 stories high
> that will tower over ANY of the 578 one to 2 story residential homes
> in the surrounding community. AND
> 4. Last but not least, in order to approve the Church's SUP the
> Church MUST COMPLY WITH 4 FINDINGS, 2 of which are:
> A) FINDING: Be located, designed, and operated so as to maintain or
> enhance the VALUE of CONTIGUOUS property [ie, adjacent, nearby,
> neighboring].
```

```
> Given the aforementioned 3 key, undeniable facts, how can this Town
> HONESTLY say that the Church will NOT LOWER the property value of
> homes in this neighborhood? You do NOT need an Appraiser's license to
> know that this will occur. It's PLAIN COMMON SENSE!
>
> On a personal note, I ask each of you on our Town Council whether you
> would be able to cheerfully welcome a building and parking lot of this
> size and proportion building directly next door to or across the
> street from your home, that which possibly represents your greatest
> investment that you will make in your lifetime.
>
> AND
>
> B) FINDING: Conform to the general plans for the physical
> development of the Town as embodied in the LAND USE Management
> Ordinance and in the 2020 Comprehensive Plan.
> NOTE: A House of Worship does NOT conform to the AMENDED 2020 Land
> Use Map which CLEARLY shows this overall neighborhood where the Church
> wishes to build as being ALL "Low Density RESIDENTIAL" use. This
> House of Worship is NOT A RESIDENCE. NO Ordinance allowing it to be
> built in R-2 zoned neighborhood can or will change that fact. PERIOD!
>
>
> I and my fellow homeowners bought into the surrounding
> neighborhood/community with the full KNOWLEDGE, UNDERSTANDING and
> RELIANCE that this area would remain zoned, occupied and maintained IN
> APPEARANCE as an ALL RESIDENTIAL DESTINATION in our Town. Approval of
> this structure or any modification of it will ALTER our ALL RESIDENTIAL community's status.
> I therefore ask the Town to PLEASE HONOR that prior commitment to us
> all and NOT permit the use of this site for other than a purely LOW
> DENSITY RESIDENTIAL use, which would be consistent with the use of the
> surrounding 7 ALL RESIDENTIAL subdivisions. A place of worship does
> NOT qualify as a Residence.
> I believe with all my heart that NO motivation, personal, political or
> otherwise, should be allowed to supersede the rights and expectations
> of residential homeowners in this and the immediate adjacent
> subdivisions by turning or converting their immediate adjacent
> property into a use other than "Residential." These homeowners have
> the right to expect the QUIET ENJOYMENT and USE of their home and
> property, and that the immediate adjacent property will remain as such
> for LOW Density Residential use, instead of being converted by an
> outside party into an Institutional use, no matter how noble and righteous its cause may be.
>
> I respectfully ask that the Council please consider denying this
> application to construct this house of worship, an Institutional
> structure, at 141 Erwin Rd.
>
> Thank you,
```

- > Harvey Krasny
- > Homeowner
- >
- >

**From:** Jeanette Coffin

**Sent:** Wednesday, October 07, 2020 11:48 AM

**To:** mfginsberg@gmail.com

**Cc:** Judy Johnson; Allen Buansi; Amy Ryan; Hongbin Gu; Jeanne Brown; Jess Anderson; Karen Stegman;

Michael Parker; Pam Hemminger; Shakera Vaughan; Tai Huynh; Amy Harvey; Ann Anderson; Carolyn Worsley; Flo Miller; Laura Selmer; Mary Jane Nirdlinger; Maurice Jones; Rae Buckley; Ran Northam;

Ross Tompkins; Sabrina Oliver

**Subject:** FW: Petition from Erwin Village to Deny Special Use Permit for Christ Community Church (Project #

19-119)

**Attachments:** Petition - Erwin Village.pdf

Thank you for your correspondence with the Town of Chapel Hill. The Mayor and Town Council are interested in what you have to say. By way of this email, I am forwarding your message to the Mayor and each of the Council Members, as well as to the appropriate staff person who may be able to assist in providing additional information or otherwise addressing your concerns.

If your email is related to a development application or a particular issue being addressed by the Council, your comments will be made part of the record. If applicable, we encourage you to attend any public meetings related to the items addressed in your email.

Again, thank you for your message.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Coffin



Jeanette Coffin
Office Assistant
Town of Chapel Hill Manager's Office
405 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Chapel Hill, NC 27514
(o) 919-968-2743 | (f) 919-969-2063

From: Margo Ginsberg [mailto:mfginsberg@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 11:34 AM

To: Town Council <mayorandcouncil@townofchapelhill.org>

Subject: Petition from Erwin Village to Deny Special Use Permit for Christ Community Church (Project #19-119)

External email: Don't click links or attachments from unknown senders. To check or report forward to reportspam@townofchapelhill.org

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

We, the undersigned homeowners, implore the Chapel Hill Town Council to deny a Special Use Permit (SUP) to Christ Community Church (Project #19-119) to construct an approx. 11,420 square foot place of worship at

141 Erwin Road. We do so for the following main reasons (repeatedly expressed during public hearings over the past two years):

- 1. We desire to preserve the quality, character, and value of our neighborhoods, zoned low-density residential.
- 2. We fear that a SUP for Christ Community Church will set a precedent for other mixed-use developments to encroach on our neighborhoods, such as the Marriott Hotel project.
- 3. We consider the size and height of the proposed main building of the project to be significantly out of character with the surrounding one-family homes.
- 4. We feel endangered by the projected increased traffic flow, in particular, at the already dangerous intersection of Erwin Road, Old Oxford, and Windhover Drive.
- 5. We are afraid that the stormwater run-off, in spite of the developers' assurances, will worsen and put homes below the proposed project at greater risk of damage.

Attached are the 29 signatures of homeowners and residents in Erwin Village and McGregor Place.

Best regards, Margo Ginsberg Erwin Village, HOA Vice-President